

PERFORMANCE TRENDS OVER 4-YEAR PERIOD

	Absolute Rating	Improvement Rating	Adequate Yearly Progress
2001	Good	Average	N/A
2002	Good	Below Average	N/A
2003	Good	Unsatisfactory	No
2004			

PALMETTO ACHIEVEMENT CHALLENGE TESTS (PACT) RESULTS

Our School

Middle Schools with Students like Ours

Add to the school of the school of

Advanced Very high score; very well prepared to work at next grade level; exceeded expectations

Proficient Well prepared to work at next grade level; met expectations

Basic Met standards; minimally prepared, can go to next grade level

Did not meet standards; must have an academic assistance plan; the local board policy determines progress to the next grade level

NOTE: Science and social studies are to be included in the 2005 school report card.

EVALUATIONS BY TEACHERS, STUDENTS, AND PARENTS

	Teachers	Students	Parents
Number of surveys returned	42	233	81
Percent satisfied with learning environment	90.0%	81.8%	88.8%
Percent satisfied with social and physical environment	92.9%	83.5%	64.6%
Percent satisfied with home-school relations	90.5%	88.7%	84.0%

	SELLANDE EX CERTIFIC	

	/it	Jell Leen	cested /	CM BO	aasic /	oroficie	-dvane fi	cientance
	EMOR	Refu Testi	lested old	alow Big	Basic	Proficie ok	Advante Profi	dentiance Advance
				iglish/Lar	iguage A			
All students	727	99.6	15.3	40.9	35.2	8.6	43.8	17.6
Gender								
Male	313	99.7	19.7	50.7	24.8	4.8	29.6	17.6
Female	414	99.5	11.8	33.6	43.1	11.5	54.6	17.6
Racial/Ethnic Group								
White	540	99.6	6.9	39.6	42.4	11.1	53.5	17.6
African-American	150	100.0	46.5	43.3	10.2	N/A	10.2	17.6
Asian/Pacific Islander	15	100.0	31.3	31.3	31.3	6.3	37.5	17.6
Hispanic	21	95.2	22.2	72.2	5.6	N/A	5.6	17.6
American Indian/Alaskan	N/A	0.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	17.6
Disability Status								
Not disabled	639	99.8	10.5	41.1	38.7	9.7	48.4	17.6
Disabled	88	97.7	54.7	38.7	6.7	N/A	6.7	17.6
Migrant Status		01.1	01.1	00.1	0.1	14/71	0.1	11.0
Migrant	N/A	0.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	17.6
Non-migrant	727	99.6	15.2	40.9	35.2	8.6	43.9	17.6
English Proficiency	121	33.0	10.2	40.0	00.Z	0.0	40.0	17.0
Limited English proficient	7	100.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	17.6
Non-limited English proficient	720	99.6	14.9	40.8	35.6	8.7	44.3	17.6
Socio-Economic Status	120	00.0	14.0	40.0	00.0	0.1	11.0	17.0
Subsidized meals	194	99.5	38.6	47.6	13.3	0.6	13.9	17.6
Full-pay meals	533	99.6	7.7	38.8	42.3	11.2	53.5	17.6
· un puj moulo	000	00.0	, ,.,	00.0	72.0	1	00.0	17.0
				Mathe	matics			
All students	727	99.7	21.0	38.5	22.3	18.2	40.5	15.5
Gender								
Male	313	100.0	23.5	36.4	21.8	18.4	40.1	15.5
Female	414	99.5	18.7	40.3	22.8	18.2	41.0	15.5
Racial/Ethnic Group		00.0	1011	1010	22.0	10.2	1110	10.0
White	540	99.8	10.5	40.5	26.0	23.1	49.0	15.5
African-American	150	99.3	59.5	31.7	7.9	0.8	8.7	15.5
Asian/Pacific Islander	15	100.0	37.5	25.0	18.8	18.8	37.5	15.5
Hispanic	21	100.0	33.3	44.4	22.2	N/A	22.2	15.5
American Indian/Alaskan	N/A	0.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	15.5
Disability Status	IN/A	0.0	IN/A	11/7	14/74	IN/A	IN//A	10.0
Not disabled	639	99.8	15.2	40.4	24.2	20.1	44.4	15.5
Disabled	88	98.9	68.0	22.7	6.7	2.7	9.3	15.5
Migrant Status	00	30.3	00.0	22.1	0.7	2.1	5.5	10.0
Migrant	N/A	0.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	15.5
Non-migrant	727	99.7	20.8	38.6	22.4	18.3	40.6	15.5
English Proficiency	121	33.1	20.0	30.0	22.7	10.0	70.0	10.0
Limited English proficient	7	100.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	15.5
Non-limited English proficient	720	99.7	20.7	38.6	22.3	18.5	40.8	15.5
Non-innieu English prolicient	120	39.I	20.1	50.0	22.3	10.0	40.0	10.0

51.8

10.8

34.3

40.0

12.0

25.7

1.8

23.6

13.9

49.2

15.5

15.5

99.5

99.8

194

533

Socio-Economic Status Subsidized meals

Full-pay meals

PACT PERFORMANCE BY GRADE LEVEL

	I PERFC			_				
		,	Inst ing	/,	asic	/	Proficient olo	Advanced Advanced
		,ur	en team	lested on Be	OMBO	Basic ol	oroficia	Marie
		Enroller D	ert 1st ind and Testind	10/0/08	alow Basic	0/0	0/0	Advanced Advanced
				English	n/Langua	ge Arts		
	Grade 3	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Grade 4	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
2002	Grade 5	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
2	Grade 6	238	N/A	14.7	27.3	37.0	21.0	58.0
	Grade 7	240	N/A	10.9	38.2	41.6	9.2	50.8
	Grade 8	221	N/A	15.4	34.8	38.5	11.3	49.8
	Grade 3	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Grade 4	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
8	Grade 5	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
2003	Grade 6	249	100.0	17.8	30.9	37.4	13.9	51.3
	Grade 7	232	99.6	13.8	43.3	38.4	4.5	42.9
	Grade 8	246	99.2	14.3	48.5	29.9	7.4	37.2

	Mathematics									
	Grade 3	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
	Grade 4	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
2002	Grade 5	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
20	Grade 6	238	N/A	17.6	43.3	22.7	16.4	39.1		
	Grade 7	240	N/A	23.5	33.2	23.1	20.2	43.3		
•	Grade 8	221	N/A	24.0	43.4	17.6	14.9	32.6		
	Grade 3	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
	Grade 4	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
2003	Grade 5	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
20	Grade 6	249	99.6	24.7	26.0	27.7	21.6	49.4		
	Grade 7	232	99.6	17.0	39.7	22.3	21.0	43.3		
	Grade 8	246	100.0	21.2	49.8	16.9	12.1	29.0		

SCHOOL PROFILE

C	Our School	Change from Last Year	Middle Schools with Students Like Ours	Median Middle School	
Students (n= 723)			Like Ours	SCHOOL	
Students enrolled in high school credit courses (grades 7 & 8)	17.7%	Down from 23.8%	23.2%	14.4%	
Retention rate	1.8%	Down from 3.0%	1.8%	2.3%	
Attendance rate Eligible for gifted and talented	96.8%	No change	96.5%	95.2%	
	41.2%	Up from 36.6%	26.9%	13.6%	
On academic plans On academic probation	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
With disabilities other than speech Older than usual for grade	11.8%	Up from 10.0%	11.2%	14.1%	
	3.0%	Up from 2.2%	2.3%	4.9%	
Suspended or expelled	0.8%	Up from 0.7%	1.0%	1.3%	
Annual dropout rate	0.0%	No change	0.0%	0.0%	
Teachers (n= 44)					
Teachers with advanced degrees Continuing contract teachers	54.5%	Up from 51.1%	51.9%	47.1%	
	86.4%	Down from 91.1%	84.8%	82.5%	
Highly qualified teachers Teachers returning from previous year	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
	90.5%	Up from 89.7%	88.3%	84.3%	
Teacher attendance rate Average teacher salary	98.7%	Up from 98.3%	96.3%	95.0%	
	\$41,627	Up 2.0%	\$41,579	\$39,924	
Prof. development days/teacher	8.2 days	Down from 9.3 days	10.1 days	10.7 days	
School					
Principal's years at school	2.0	Up from 1.0	5.0	3.0	
Student-teacher ratio	25.7 to 1	Down from 26.5 to 1	24.2 to 1	21.0 to 1	
Prime instructional time Dollars spent per pupil*	94.7%	Up from 94.2%	92.1%	88.9%	
	\$5,054	Up 2.9%	\$5,345	\$5,854	
Percent spent on teacher salaries* Opportunities in the arts	63.1%	Down from 63.4%	63.1%	62.0%	
	Excellent	No change	Good	Good	
Parents attending conferences SACS accreditation	99.0%	No change	99.0%	94.8%	
	yes	N/A	yes	yes	

^{*} Prior year audited financial data are reported.

	Our District	State	
Highly qualified teachers in low poverty schools	N/A	N/A	
Highly qualified teachers in high poverty schools	N/A	N/A	

			• •	
Δhhr	eviation	e tor N	liccina	I lata

					•			
N/A	Not Applicable	N/C	Not Collected	N/R	Not Reported	I/S	Insufficient Sample	

REPORT OF PRINCIPAL AND SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT COUNCIL

League Academy of Communication Arts, with our motto of "Hands on Today, Eyes on Tomorrow," and our mission statement of "Challenge - Create - Communicate!," is a wonderful place to learn. League is a magnet school with a student body comprised of about 65% home-based and 35% magnet students. The efforts of our students, staff, parents, and community working together have paid wonderful dividends. We continue to strive to raise the academic challenge and performance for each student at League, to provide a school environment supportive of learning, all the while striving to integrate a high quality arts program into our curriculum.

Our PACT scores in Math and ELA continued to show overall improvement as evidenced by the reduction in the number of students scoring Below Basic in these areas. Our scores exceed those of the state and the district. League's staff has qualified teachers, with 66% having advanced degrees and an average of 14 years of teaching experience. Our "ASAP" program - "After School Achievement Program" was continued to better meet the needs of students performing below basic on PACT and has shown promise in helping to raise achievement for these students. We have shown increased involvement in our PTA and our very active School Improvement Council. The SIC and PTA worked together to sponsor parent workshops on dealing with their adolescent child that were tailored for each grade level

League's staff and students have been recognized in many ways, including the prestigious "Red Carpet Award," which credits the staff for creating a "family friendly" environment. Five current teachers have National Board Certification. All members of our staff have been trained in "Learning-Focused Teaching," a program that came out of the Middle School Initiatives. During the 2002-2003 school year, we have replaced the computers in the computer graphics lab with new state of the art computers. Our students have been recognized in academic areas as well as the arts. Our drama, dance, art, strings, band, and choral showcases are truly extraordinary.

Our parents are important partners in the education business and we believe there is a need for parents to stay actively involved during these middle school years.

During the 2002-03 school year, the League staff and community worked together to complete the SACS self-study and to participate in the School Portfolio process. Both of these endeavors made us look at the way we do things and think of ways to improve what we do. We are excited about the direction League continues to take. "Challenge - Create - Communicate!" is what we will continue to do!

Merry L. Cox, Principal

DEFINITIONS OF SCHOOL RATING TERMS

- Excellent School performance substantially exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Good School performance exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Average School performance meets the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Below Average School is in jeopardy of not meeting the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Unsatisfactory School performance fails to meet the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal

DEFINITION OF ADEQUATE YEARLY PROGRESS

As required by the United States Department of Education, adequate yearly progress specified that the statewide target is met for all students and for each subgroup of students: racial/ethnic, economic, disability, limited English proficiency and migrant status.